Decoding Lua: Formal Semantics for the Developer and the Semanticist

Abstract

We provide formal semantics for a large subset of the Lua 5.2 programming language. We validate our model by mechanizing it and testing it against the test suite of the reference interpreter.



- Lightweight imperative scripting language, featuring dynamic typing, automatic memory management, data description facilities, and metaprogramming mechanisms to adapt the language to specific domains [5].
- Used in diverse applications: game development [3], plugin development (the photo editing software Adobe Photoshop Lightroom, and the type-setting system LuaTex), web application firewalls, and embedded systems.

Mallku Soldevila¹, Beta Ziliani¹, Bruno Silvestre², Daniel Fridlender³ and Fabio Mascarenhas⁴ ¹FAMAF/UNC and CONICET, ²INF/UFG, ³FAMAF/UNC, ⁴DCC/UFRJ

Figure 4: Semantics of programs.

Lightweight mechanization with PLT Redex

File	Features tested	Coverage
calls.lua	functions and calls	77.83%
closure.lua	closures	48.5%
constructs.lua	syntax and	63.18%
	short-circuit opts.	
events.lua	metatables	90.4%
locals.lua	local variables	62.3%
	and environments	
math.lua	numbers and	82.2%
	math lib	
nextvar.lua	tables, next, and for	53.24%
sort.lua	(parts of) table	24.1%
	library	
vararg.lua	vararg	100%

Figure 5: Lua 5.2's test suite coverage.

Concepts modelled

'he features modelled include:

Every type of Lua value, except *coroutines* and userdata (see below);

Metatables;

Identity of closures;

Dynamic execution of source code;

Error handling;

- A large collection of the services of the standard library.

Conclusion and future work

The formal semantics given, together with its lightweight mechanization, make up a tool that both semanticists and Lua developers can use for understanding and extending the features of the language. Future work includes:

- Adding missing features (coroutines, new operators and metamethods of version 5.3, garbage collector).

Redex model to a proof assistant (possibly Coq). correctness of tools for code analysis and language extensions, such as Luacheck, Ravi and Typed Lua [4].

- Tools for assisting in the translation of our PLT - Use the model to give formal guarantees of

[1] M. Felleisen, R. B. Finlder, and M. Flatt. Semantics Engineering with PLT Redex. The MIT Press, 2009.

[2] A. Guha, C. Saftoiu, and S. Krishnamurthi. The essence of JavaScript. In *ECOOP* '10, 2010.

[3] R. Ierusalimschy, L. de Figueiredo, and W. Celes. The evolution of an extension language: a history of lua. In Brazilian Symposium on Programming Languages,

2001.

[4] A. M. Maidl, F. Mascarenhas, and R. Ierusalimschy. A formalization of Typed Lua. In *DLS* '15, 2015.

[5] L. H. d. F. R. Ierusalimschy and W. Celes. Lua – an extensible extension language. Software: Practice and Experience, 26(6):635–652, 1996.

We are very grateful to the anonymous reviewers for their insightful feedback. This poster was done using the template originally created by the Computational Physics and Biophysics Group at Jacobs University, and modified by Nathaniel Johnston (nathaniel@ nathanieljohnston.com).

References

Acknowledgements